



सत्यमेव जयते

आयुक्त (अपील) का कार्यालय,
Office of the Commissioner (Appeal),
 केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अपील आयुक्तालय, अहमदाबाद
Central GST, Appeal Commissionerate, Ahmedabad
 जीएसटी भवन, राजस्व मार्ग, अम्बावाड़ी अहमदाबाद ३८००१५.
 CGST Bhavan, Revenue Marg, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad 380015
 07926305065- टैलेफैक्स 07926305136



स्पीड पोस्ट

- क फाइल संख्या : File No : V2(30)54/Ahd-South/2019-20/13061 To 13065
- ख अपील आदेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal Nos. **AHM-EXCUS-001-APP-066-2019-20**
 दिनांक Date : **19-11-2019** जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue 25/11/2019
- श्री गोपीनाथ** आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित
 Passed by Shri **Gopi Nath**, Commissioner (Appeals)
- ग Arising out of Order-in-Original No. **03/CX-II/Ahmd/JC/SG/2019** दिनांक: **26.02.2019** , issued by
 Joint Commissioner, Div-Ahd south, Central Tax, Ahmedabad-South
- ध अपीलकर्ता का नाम एवं पता Name & Address of the Appellant / Respondent
Gujarat Medicraft pvt.ltd
Ahmedabad

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

Any person aggrieved by this Order-In-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way :

भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन :

Revision application to Government of India :

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अतत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा को उप-धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अधीन सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली : 110001 को की जानी चाहिए।

(i) A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid :

(ii) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानि कारखाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार में हो माल की प्रक्रिया के दौरान हुई हो।

(ii) In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.



The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registrar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated.

- (3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल आदेश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढ़ी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता है।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner notwithstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellate Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

- (4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूची-1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूल आदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रु.6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

- (5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention is invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

- (6) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट), के प्रति अपील के मामले में कर्तव्य मांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपए है। (Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवा कर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा "कर्तव्य की मांग"(Duty Demanded) -

- (i) (Section) खंड 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;
- (ii) लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशि;
- (iii) सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि.

⇒ यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में, अपील दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है .

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the pre-deposit amount shall not exceed Rs.10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute."



ORDER-IN-APPEAL

This appeal has been filed by M/s Gujarat Medicroft Pvt Ltd, Plot No.3726-27, Phase IV, GIDC, Vatva, Ahmedabad [hereinafter referred to as "the appellant"] against Order-in-Original No. 03/CX-1/Ahmd/JC/SG/2019 dated 26.02.2019 [hereinafter referred to as "the impugned order"] passed by the Joint Commissioner of CGST, Ahmedabad South [hereinafter referred to as "adjudicating authority"].

2. Briefly stated, the facts of the case are that a show cause notice dated 09.06.2006, involving amount of Rs.12,21,708/, was issued to the appellant for removal of free samples of medicaments without payment of duty during 2005-06. After rounds of litigation, the adjudicating authority, vide impugned order, has finally confirmed the demand with interest. Aggrieved with the impugned order, the appellant has filed the instant appeal.

3. The appellant vide their letter dated 16.11.2019 has now informed that they have opted for "Sabka Vishwas (Legacy Dispute Resolution) Scheme, 2019 [for short-SVLDRS] for the issue under appeal and the designated committee has accepted their application under SVLDRS and issued discharge certificate to the appellant in terms of provisions of Section 127 of the Finance Act, 2019. Accordingly, they have requested to closure of the instant appeal.

4. I find that as per provisions of Section 127 (6) of the Finance Act, 2019, in respect of a declaration filed under SVLDRS which is accepted by the designated committee and issued discharge certificate, the appeal before appellate authorities challenging the issue/tax dues for which settlement is sought under SVLDRS, will be deemed to have been withdrawn. The relevant provisions of Section 127 (6) of the Finance Act, 2019 is as under:

"(6) Where the declarant has filed an appeal or reference or a reply to the show cause notice against any order or notice giving rise to the tax dues, before the appellate forum, other than the Supreme Court or the High Court, then, notwithstanding anything contained in any other provisions of any law for the time being in force, such appeal or reference or reply shall be deemed to have been withdrawn. "

5. In view thereof, the appeal under consideration is to be considered as withdrawn. Accordingly, I dismiss the appeal as withdrawn.

(Gopi Nath)

Commissioner (Appeals)

Date : .11.2019



Attested

(Mohan V.V)
Superintendent (Appeals)
Central GST, Ahmedabad
R.P.A.D

To
M/s Gujarat Medicroft Pvt Ltd,
Plot No.3726-27, Phase IV, GIDC, Vatva, Ahmedabad

Copy to:-

1. The Principal Chief Commissioner, CGST, Ahmedabad Zone
2. The Principal Commissioner, CGST, Ahmedabad South
3. The Addl./Joint Commissioner, (Systems), CGST, Ahmedabad South
4. The Joint Commissioner, CGST, Ahmedabad South
5. The Assistant Commissioner, Division-II, Ahmedabad South
- ✓ 6. Guard file.
7. P.A.



